## APPEAL NO. 031687 FILED AUGUST 18, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on May 28, 2003. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) is not entitled supplemental income benefits (SIBs) for the fourth quarter. The claimant appealed this determination. The respondent (carrier) filed a response to the claimant's appeal; however, it was not timely and will not be given consideration.

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

Sections 408.142 and 408.143 provide that an employee continues to be entitled to SIBs after the first compensable guarter if the employee: (1) has not returned to work or has earned less than 80% of the employee's average weekly wage as a direct result of the impairment and (2) has in good faith sought employment commensurate with his or her ability to work. Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 130.102(d)(5) (Rule 130.102(d)(5)) provides, in pertinent part, that an injured employee has made the required good faith effort if the employee "has provided sufficient documentation as described in subsection (e) of this section to show that he or she has made a good faith effort to obtain employment." Subsection (e) further provides that the injured worker "who is able to return to work in any capacity shall look for employment commensurate with his or her ability to work every week of the qualifying period and document his or her job search efforts." Rule 130.102(e) then lists information to be considered in determining whether the injured employee has made a good faith effort, including, among other things, the number of jobs applied for, applications which document the job search, the amount of time spent in attempting to find employment, and any job search plan. Whether the claimant satisfied the good faith requirement for SIBs entitlement as provided for by Rule 130.102 was a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

	Chris Cowan Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge	
Appodio oddgo	
Robert W. Potts	
Appeals Judge	